

# **Pacific Northwest National Laboratory** Operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy



# **1. Introduction**

- Predictive modeling of subsurface reactive flows is a daunting task because of the wide range of spatial scales involved—from the pore to the field scale—ranging over more than six orders of magnitude, and the wide range of time scales involved from seconds or less to millions of years
- $\checkmark$  Failures of simple  $K_d$ -type models are common place, for example, simulations of the fate of uranium in the 300 Area at Hanford using a  $K_d$  model predicted that uranium would be removed by ambient groundwater flow within a decade. Fifteen years later the uranium plume remains where it was with no apparent measurable migration (Zachara et al., 2005), caused by slow leaching of uranium from millimeter or smaller scales.
- Capturing the extreme range of scales (1 mm 100 m) is impossible with current and near-future computing capabilities employing conventional single continuum models with simple orthogonal grids. For example, discretizing a computational domain measuring 2 km  $\times$  <sup>-1</sup>  $km \times 500 m = 10^9 m^3$ , into cubic millimeter nodes would require  $10^{18}$ computational nodes to describe.
- Two promising approaches to solve this problem are to apply grid refinement only where needed using adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) techniques, and incorporate a sub-grid scale model that can account for millimeter scales into the primary-grid continuum model.

# 2. Code Enhancements Planned in SciDAC II Project

# 2.1 Modeling Multiscale Processes

- Represent system through multiple interacting continua with a single primary continuum coupled to sub-grid scale secondary continua (Lichtner and Kang, 2007)
- Associate sub-grid scale model with node in primary continuum
- 1D computational domain
- Multiple sub-grid models may be associated with a subset of primary continuum nodes
- **Degrees of freedom:**  $N \times N_K \times N_{\text{DCM}} \times N_C$
- N-number of primary continuum nodes
- $\square$  N<sub>K</sub>—sub-grid classes
- I  $N_{\rm DCM}$ —number of nodes to discretized sub-grid continuum
- $\square$  N<sub>c</sub>-number of chemical components



**Figure 1:** Schematic illustration of multiscale processes in a heterogeneous porous medium and primary and secondary continua with connectivity of sub-grid scale

# 2.2 Adaptive Mesh Refinement

- AMR provides front tracking capability in the primary grid that can range from centimeter to tens of meters.
- Disparate length scales can be effectively addressed using AMR by introducing local fine resolution only in regions where needed. This can significantly reduce memory and computational costs for simulating complex physical processes exhibiting localized fine scale features that need to be resolved.
- Sub-grid scale models can be introduced in regions of significant activity and not at every node within the 3D domain. As demonstrated below, it is not necessary to include the sub-grid model equations in the primary continuum Jacobian even though these equations are solved in a fully coupled manner.



**Figure 2:** Example of AMR using SAMRAI, an object oriented C++ software framework providing support for massively parallel adaptive mesh refinement multiphysics applications (Pernice and Philip, 2006).

- AMR capabilities are provided by SAMRAI (Structured Adaptive) Mesh Refinement Application Infrastructure) provides:
- parallel load balancing
- geometry
- inter-level and intra-level communication
- Just different data centerings- vertex, cell, edge
- regridding
- basic mathematical operations
- solver interfaces to PETSc
- Using SAMRAI with application codes written in F90 requires implementation of a portable  $C_{++}$ –F90 interface (Wang et al., 2004).

- To capture irregular geology and enable local regions of fixed grid refinement, we will introduce an unstructured grid capability within our PFLOTRAN code's integral finite volume formulation.
- PFLOTRAN has been written with this eventuality in mind:
- Fluxes calculated by looping over all connections between nodes.
- Son-Cartesian meshes are easily substituted using a PETSc DM.
- The Mesh class is an reformulation of the DA for arbitrary topology
- Sieves, discrete topological objects, represent the computational mesh in terms of a *covering* relation.
- Mesh topology is cleanly separated from geometry and other fields (e.g., temperature, pressure, saturation, ...
- Only local physics routines need geometry information.
- Greatly simplifies data movement and repartitioning.
- Interpretation The Mesh, like the DA, manages the parallel data structures.
- No substantial changes to the PFLOTRAN code are required.
- Ite Mesh, through a DM, can also manage a multilevel solver.

# 2.4 Upscaling

Upscaling and AMR go hand-in-hand: as the grid is refined or coarsened, material properties such as permeability must be calculated at the new scale.



**Figure 3:** A fine-scale realization  $(128 \times 128)$  of a random permeability field,  $\kappa(x, y) =$  $\zeta^{-\ln(\alpha)}, \zeta$  uniformly distributed in (0,1), with  $\alpha = 5$  (left) is followed by successively upscaled fields (  $N \times N$  with N = 32, 16, 4, and 1 ) obtained with Multigrid Homogenization (Moulton et al., 1998).

Coarse-Scale Anisotropy: permeability must, in general, be considered as a tensor at larger scales even if it is a scalar (i.e., isotropic) at the finest scale.



- <sup>②</sup> Uniform flow from bottom to top is
- This suggests a diagonal permeability tensor is sufficient, however, if the stripes are not aligned with permeability is described by a full
- A single multi-dimensional average is inadequate for modeling flow (MacLachlan and Moulton, 2006)
- Upscaling that captures full-tensor permeability includes multigrid homogenization, and asymptotic theory for periodic media.
- Theory is limited to periodic two-scale media (well separated) scales)
- Upscaling reactions poses a significant challenge as well. In some aspects of this work volume averaging will suffice, while in others new multiscale models will be required.

# 2.5 Software Management and Development

- PFLOTRAN leverages several open source packages: Mercurial is used for revision control (http://www.selenic.com/mercurial/wiki/)
- Trac is used for project management
- (http://trac.edgewall.com/)
- Plone is used for content management (http://plone.org) for the public web site
- Open source releases will be posted on the public web site (http://software.lanl.gov/pflotran/)

# 2.3 Unstructured Grids with PETSc Sieve Objects

- PETSc DA objects to manage data distribution on a Cartesian mesh.
- (Knepley and Karpeev, 2005).

# Modeling Multiscale-Multiphase-Multicomponent Subsurface Reactive Flows using Advanced Computing

# P. Lichtner<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> (PI: lichtner@lanl.gov), C. Lu<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>, B. Philip<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>, D. Moulton<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>, G. Hammond<sup> $\beta$ </sup>, S. Yabusaki<sup> $\beta$ </sup>, R. Mills<sup> $\gamma$ </sup>, B. Smith<sup> $\delta$ </sup>, A. Valocchi<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>

 $^{\alpha}$ Los Alamos National Laboratory, $^{\beta}$ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, $^{\delta}$ Argonne National Laboratory, $^{\delta}$ University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

① Uniform flow from left to right is governed by the harmonic mean.

governed by the arithmetic mean.

the coordinate axes this equivalent

3. The Massively Parallel Reactive Flow and Transport Code **PFLOTRAN** 

- Inter computer code PFLOTRAN solves a coupled system of mass and energy conservation equations for a number of phases including  $H_2O$ , supercritical  $CO_2$ , and black oil, and a gaseous phase. PFLOTRAN describes coupled thermal-hydrologic-chemical (THC) processes in variably saturated, nonisothermal, porous media in one (1D), two (2D), or three (3D) spatial dimensions.
- The multiphase partial differential equations solved by PFLOW for mass and energy conservation can be summarized as (Lichtner et al., 1996):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \phi \sum_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} X_{i}^{\alpha} \right) + \nabla \cdot \sum_{\alpha} \left[ \boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} X_{i}^{\alpha} - \phi s_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \nabla X_{i}^{\alpha} \right] = Q_{i}^{\alpha},$$
(1a)

and  

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \phi \sum_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} + (1 - \phi) \rho_r c_r T \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left[ \boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} - \kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} T \right] = Q_e.$$
(1b)

In these equations,  $\alpha$  designates a phase (e.g. H<sub>2</sub>O, supercritical  $CO_2$ , g), species are designated by the subscript i (e.g.  $w = H_2O_1$ )  $c = CO_2$ ),  $\phi$  denotes porosity of the geologic formation,  $s_{\alpha}$  denotes the saturation state of the phase;  $X_i^{\alpha}$  denotes the mole fraction of species i;  $\rho_{\alpha}$ ,  $H_{\alpha}$ ,  $U_{\alpha}$  refer to the molar density, enthalpy, and internal energy of each fluid phase, respectively;  $q_{\alpha}$  denotes the Darcy flow rate defined by

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha} = -\frac{kk_{\alpha}}{\mu_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} (p_{\alpha} - W_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}g\boldsymbol{z}),$$
 (2)

where k refers to the water saturated permeability.  $k_{\alpha}$  denotes the relative permeability,  $\mu_{\alpha}$  denotes the fluid viscosity,  $W_{\alpha}$  denotes the formula weight, and g denotes the acceleration of gravity. The source/sink terms  $Q_i^{\alpha}$  and  $Q_e$ , describe injection and extraction at wells.

Interpotent searching the searching of the searching o PTRAN have the form (Lichtner et al., 1996):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \phi \sum_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} \Psi_{j}^{\alpha} \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j}^{\alpha} = -\sum_{m} \nu_{jm} I_{m}, \quad (3)$$

for the jth primary species, and

$$\frac{\phi_m}{\partial t} = \overline{V}_m I_m,$$
 (4)

for the mth mineral.

**Definitions:**  $\Psi_{i}^{\alpha}$ ,  $\Omega_{i}^{\alpha}$  denote the total concentration and flux, defined by the expressions

$$J_{j}^{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha l} C_{j}^{\alpha} + \sum_{i} \nu_{j i} C_{i}^{\alpha}, \qquad (5)$$

and

$$= (-\tau \phi s_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \nabla + \boldsymbol{a}_{\alpha}) \Psi^{\alpha}.$$
 (6)

 $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j}^{\alpha} = (-\tau \phi s_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nabla} + \boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha}) \Psi_{j}^{\alpha},$ where  $C_i^{\alpha}$  denotes the solute concentration in phase  $\alpha$ ,  $C_i^{\alpha}$  denotes the concentration of the *i*th secondary species related to the concentration of primary species through the mass action equations

$$^{\alpha} = (\gamma_i^{\alpha})^{-1} K_i^{\alpha} \prod \left(\gamma_j^{\alpha} C_j^{\alpha}\right)^{\nu_{ji}^{\alpha}},$$
(7)

where  $\gamma_i^{\alpha}$  denotes the activity coefficient,  $K_i^{\alpha}$  the equilibrium constant for reaction (10).

The mineral concentration is represented by the volume fraction  $V_{m}$  with molar volume  $\overline{V}_{m}$ . The kinetic reaction rate  $I_{m}$  for the mth mineral is assumed to have the form

$$_{n} = -k_{m}A_{m}\Phi(\phi_{m})(1 - K_{m}Q_{m}), \qquad (8)$$

based on transition state theory, where  $k_m$  denotes the kinetic rate constant,  $K_m$  the equilibrium constant for reaction (11),  $A_m$  the mineral specific surface area, and  $Q_m$  the ion activity product defined by

$$Q_m = \prod \left(\gamma_j^{\pi} C_j^{\pi}\right)^{\nu_{jm}}.$$
 (9)

The factor  $\Phi(\phi_m)$  is unity if  $\phi_m > 0$  or  $K_m Q_m > 1$ , and zero otherwise, where  $\phi_m$  denotes the mineral volume fraction. The sign of the rate is positive for precipitation and negative for dissolution and vanishes at equilibrium when  $K_m Q_m = 1$ .

Chemical reactions included in PTRAN involve homogeneous and heterogeneous reaction between aqueous species and minerals which can be written in the general forms

$$\sum 
u_{ji} \mathcal{A}_j \rightleftharpoons \mathcal{A}_i,$$
 (10)

$$\sum_{j}^{j} 
u_{jm} \mathcal{A}_{j} \ \rightleftharpoons \ \mathcal{M}_{m},$$
 (1)

respectively, where the set of species  $\{A_i\}$  refer to a set of primary or basis species in terms of which all other species are written,  $\mathcal{A}_i$ denotes an aqueous complex referred to as a secondary species. and  $\mathcal{M}_m$  refers to a mineral.

- The corresponding thermodynamic equilibrium constants  $K_i$ ,  $K_m$ extensive database for aqueous species, gases, and minerals.
- $\checkmark$  Partitioning CO<sub>2</sub> between H<sub>2</sub>O and supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> is accomplished with the reactior

where the subscript (g) refers to the supercritical phase and (aq) to the aqueous phase. Other reactions not include above that may also significantly impact  $CO_2$  sequestration are ion exchange and surface complexation reactions.

calcite consist of the following reaction network

 $\mathrm{CO}_2 - \mathrm{H}^2$  $\rm CO_2 - 2H^+$  $Ca^{2+} + CO_2 - H^+$  $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} + \mathrm{CO}_2 - 2\mathrm{H}^+$  $Ca^{2+} + CO_2 - 2H^+$ 

described by the 4 primary species:  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $H^+$ ,  $H_2O$ , and  $CO_2$ . The first four reactions involve only aqueous species and are referred to as homogeneous reactions. These reactions are considered to be sufficiently fast that local chemical equilibrium applies. The remaining reaction involves the solid phase calcite and thus is referred to as a heterogeneous reaction.

# 3.1 Coupling PFLOW and PTRAN

- The routines PFLOW and PTRAN are solved sequentially with an fully consistent solution is obtained.
- Generally PTRAN requires smaller time steps than PFLOW and time steps  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ , using a relation of the form of the form

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \frac{t - t_1}{t_2 - t_1} \mathcal{F}_2 + \frac{t_2 - t}{t_2 - t_1} \mathcal{F}_1,$$
(14)

for  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ , for field variable  $\mathcal{F}$ .

To account for changes in porosity and permeability due to mineral time step  $\Delta t$  from the relation

 $\phi(\boldsymbol{r}, t + \Delta t) = \phi(\boldsymbol{r}, t) -$ 

and the revised porosity is passed back to PFLOW. Permeability is derived from porosity through a power law or other phenomenological relation.

Future implementations will explore independent grid hierarchies for PFLOW and PTRAN, as well as fully coupled schemes.

# **4.** Parallel Implementation

- computer architectures (Hammond et al., 2005).
- Parallelization is implemented through the PETSc parallel library developed at Argonne National Laboratory (Balay et al., 1997).
- PETSc provides a user friendly set of routines for solving systems of nonlinear equations in parallel using domain decomposition [Figure 5(a)].
- This includes parallel solvers and preconditioners, parallel construction of the Jacobian matrix and residual function, and seamless message passing, which together provide a high parallel efficiency
- PETSc (latest version 2.3.2) has achieved a high level of maturity that allows rapid development with efficient parallel implementation for solving systems of non-linear partial differential equations.
- PFLOTRAN makes use of object-oriented features in FORTRAN 90 and is essentially platform independent, running on any machine that PETSc runs on. This includes laptop computers, workstations, and massively parallel high performance computing facilities.

and reaction stoichiometric coefficients  $\nu_{ii}$ ,  $\nu_{im}$  are derived from an

$$\Rightarrow CO_{2(g)},$$
 (12)

Examples of Eqs.(10) and (11) for an aqueous fluid reacting with

$^{+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons$	$HCO_3^-,$	(13a
$^{+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons$	$CO_{3}^{2-},$	(13b
$^{+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons$	$CaHCO_3^+,$	(130
$^{+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons$	$CaCO_{3(aq)},$	(13d
$^{+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons$	$CaCO_{3(s)},$	(13e

option to iterate between the PFLOW and PTRAN solutions until a

therefore it is necessary to interpolate field variables passed from PFLOW to PTRAN at the desired time. A linear interpolation relation is used to obtain pressure, temperature, saturation, and Darcy velocities of the fluid phases at intermediate times between two PFLOW

reactions, PTRAN is used to calculate an updated porosity over a

$$-\Delta t \sum_{m} \overline{V}_{m} I_{m}(\boldsymbol{r}, t + \Delta t), \qquad (15)$$

PFLOTRAN is written from the ground up to run on massively parallel





Number of Processors

Sample parallel strong scaling is shown in Figure 5(b) for a modest sized problem running on MPP2 at PNNL/EMSL and Jaguar at ORNL (Mills et al., 2005). The benchmark was run on both the MPP2 cluster at PNNL/EMSL, a cluster of 1960 1.5 GHz Itanium 2 processors with Quadrics QsNetII interconnect, and Jaguar, the 5294 Opteron processor Cray XT3 at ORNL/NCCS. PFLOW scales quite well on both machines, bottoming out at around 1024 processors on MPP2, and scaling exceptionally well on Jaguar, displaying linear speedup all the way up to 2048 processors, and still displaying good speedup when going from there to 4096 processors. PTRAN scales similarly, which is not surprising because its computational structure is nearly identical to that of PFLOW. PFLOTRAN has been used to model CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration (Lu and Lichtner, 2005; Lu et al., 2005), and radionuclide transport at the Nevada Test Site (Lichtner and Wolfsberg, 2004).

- Multi-scale processes are based on a multiple interacting continuum formulation (Lichtner, 2000):
- Primary continuum:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \epsilon_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} \Psi_{j}^{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \epsilon_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j}^{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} A_{\beta\alpha} \Omega_{j}^{\alpha\beta} - \epsilon_{\alpha} \sum_{s} \nu_{js} A_{s}^{\alpha} I_{s}^{\alpha}$$
(16)

Secondary (sub-grid scale) continuum:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_{\beta}\Psi_{j}^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j}^{\beta} = -\sum_{s}\nu_{js}A_{s}^{\beta}I_{s}^{\beta}$$
(17)

Coupling:

$$C_j^{\beta}(r=r_{\beta}, t; \boldsymbol{r}) = C_j^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}, t), \quad \Omega_j^{\alpha\beta} = -\varphi_{\beta}D_{\beta}\boldsymbol{n}_{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Psi_j^{\beta}$$
 (18)

Parallelization of multi-scale sub-grid scale model:

- Rigorously decouple primary and sub-grid scale equations over a Newton iteration (time step in linear case)
- Solve Sequence:
- Eliminate sub-grid scale boundary concentration from primary continuum equation (forward "embarrassingly" parallel solve)
- Solve primary equations in parallel using domain decomposition Obtain sub-grid scale concentration (backward "embarrassingly" parallel solve)
- The primary continuum residual is given by

$$R_{jn}^{\alpha} = \frac{(\epsilon_{\alpha}\varphi_{\alpha}\Psi_{jn}^{\alpha})_{t+\Delta t} - (\epsilon_{\alpha}\varphi_{\alpha}\Psi_{jn}^{\alpha})_{t}}{\Delta t} V_{n} + \sum_{n'} \Omega_{jnn'}^{\alpha} A_{nn'} + V_{n} \sum_{s} \nu_{js} I_{sn}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} \Gamma_{jn}^{\beta\alpha} (\Psi_{jn}^{\alpha} - \Psi_{jM}^{\beta n})$$
(19)

where  $\Psi_{iM}^{\beta n}$  is the coupling to the sub-grid scale model. The sub-grid scale secondary continua equations are:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_1^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 \delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2^{\beta} = \boldsymbol{r}_1$$
(20a)  
$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_1^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 \delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_2 \delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_3^{\beta} = \boldsymbol{r}_2$$
(20b)

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{m}\delta\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{m-1}^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{m}\delta\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{m}^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{m}\delta\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{m+1}^{\beta} = \boldsymbol{r}_{m}$$
 (20c)

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{M-1}\delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{M-2}^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{M-1}\delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{M-1}^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{M-1}\delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{M}^{\beta} = \boldsymbol{r}_{M-1}$$
 (20d)  
 $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{M}\delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{M-1}^{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{M}\delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{M}^{\beta} = \boldsymbol{r}_{M}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{jn}^{\alpha})$  (20e)

where  $\Psi_{in}^{\alpha}$  is the coupling to the primary continuum.

### 5.1 Hanford 300 Area

- fer (Zachara et al., 2005).

- be taken into account.



Figure 6: An aerial view of the Hanford 300 Area is shown on the left with a strati graphic cross section shown on the right.

# 5.2 Subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration

- boundaries separately.



ties of the reservoir.



ILLINOIS

# 5. Applications

A number of applications will be investigated that require high perfor mance computing. These include the Hanford 300 Area,  $CO_2$  sequestration, and radionuclide migration at the Nevada Test Site.

Uranium concentrations in the groundwater of the Hanford 300 Area continue to exceed the drinking water standard in spite of engineer ing calculations that predicted cleanup by natural attenuation.

Laboratory experiments indicate that a key process in the uranium persistence is the presence of pore structures that limit mass trans-

The accurate characterization of pore scale effects and effective subgrid parameterizations would provide scientifically defensible decision making for engineered solutions.

We will apply PFLOTRAN to a site wide model of U(VI) migration at the Hanford 300 Area. Our analysis will include:

surface complexation and ion exchange reactions, and kinetic phenomena caused by intra-grain diffusion and precipitation/dissolution of U(VI) solid phases to account for the observed slow leaching of U(VI) from the source zone.

Both transport in the vadose zone where the U(VI) source is located and saturated groundwater flow to the Columbia River will

An important consideration in a robust model for the 300 Area is remobilization of U(VI) as the rising and falling stage of the Columbia River causes river water to mix with ambient groundwater in the vadose zone. To adequately model this system will require taking into account changes in the river stage on a daily basis. We will using AMR to track this transient behavior induced by river stage fluctuations.

We will apply PFLOTRAN with its newly developed AMR capability to model the effects of  $CO_2$  sequestration in a subsurface reservoir. We will include the presence of an oil phase and four phase liquid-

gas-aqueous-oil system to describe dissipation of the supercritica  $CO_2$  phase and escape of  $CO_2$  to the surface. In the addition of compositional oil will be added to the code using a

generic multiphase capability.

Simulations will be conducted first for a porous medium that is homogeneous at all scales so that the complications of upscaling issues can be avoided. This will enable us to test the AMR algorithm's ability to track reaction fronts and changes in phase

Next, a consistent set of hierarchical permeability and dispersivity fields will be developed at different scales using various upscaling algorithms, including multilevel techniques.

Figure 7: Carbon sequestration simulations showing fingering of dissolved CO (left), and pH fingering (right) due to density instabilities.

A feature of interest will be resolution of fingering in 3D simulations produced from buoyancy effects caused by an increase in density as supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> dissolves into the formation brine. Finger widths may be on the order of meters or smaller depending on the proper-

- Working with LANL DOE-FE Regional Partnerships on CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration we will identify a field site and apply PFLOTRAN to model a 3D field CO<sub>2</sub> injection scenario. LANL is part of two regional partnerships that are planning four field experiments between them, ranging in size from several thousand tons to millions of tons and ranging in lithology from sandstones to carbonates to basalts. Our proposed work in these partnerships includes collection of data and observations that would be excellent for a computational study on reactive flow in complex geologic environments.
- We expect the accuracy of our predictive capability to increase as more data becomes available for the various multiphase constitutive relations needed in simulations. Through the versatile and modular structure of PFLOTRAN, it will be relatively easy to add new constitutive relations as they become available.

### 6. Conclusion

PFLOTRAN is being developed to provide next-generation capabilities in modeling reactive flows in porous media.

### Features:

- modular structure based on F90 and C++
- multiscale processes
- 🧢 AMR
- unstructured grids
- upscaling material properties
- multiphase, multicomponent reactions

Applications:

- Hanford 300 Area
- CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration
- Nevada Test Site
- Shale Hills PA, .

**Acknowledgments** This work is partially supported by a SciDAC-2 award under Contract No. DE-AC52-06NA25396 from the U.S. Department of Energy.

### 7. References

- Balay, S., W.D. Gropp, L.C. McInnes and B.F. Smith (1997) Efficient Management of Parallelism in Object Oriented Numerical Software Libraries, Modern Software Tools in Scientific Computing, Ed. E. Arge, A. M. Bruaset and H. P. Langtangen, 163-202. Birkhauser Press.
- Hammond, G., Valocchi, V., Lichtner, P.C. (2005) Application of Jacobian-free Newton-Krylov with physics-based preconditioning to biogeochemical transport, Advances in Water Resources, 28, 359–376.
- Hornung, R.D. and J.A. Trangenstein (1997) Adaptive mesh refinement and multilevel iteration for flow in porous media. J. Comp. Phys. 136, 522-545.
- Knepley, M.G. and D.A. Karpeev (2005) Flexible Representation of Computational Meshes, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL/MCS-P1295-1005. Submitted to
- Lichtner, P.C. (2000) Critique of Dual Continuum Formulations of Multicomponent Reactive Transport in Fractured Porous Media, Dynamics of Fluids in Fractured Rock. Geophys. Monograph, 122, 281–298.
- Lichtner, P.C. and Q. Kang (2007) Upscaling Pore-Scale Reactive Transport Equations using a Multi-Scale Continuum Formulation, Water Resources Research, in
- Lichtner, P.C. and A. Wolfsberg (2004) Modeling Thermal-Hydrologic-Chemical (THC) Coupled Processes with Application to Underground Nuclear Tests at the Nevada Test Site: A "Grand Challenge" Supercomputing Problem, MOU Workshop: Conceptual Model Development for Subsurface Reactive Transport Modeling of Inorganic Contaminants, Radionuclides, and Nutrients, April 20-22, Albuquerque, NM, Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-04-1826.
- Lichtner, P.C., C. I. Steefel, and E. H. Oelkers (1996) Reactive transport in porous media. Reviews in Mineralogy, 34, 438.
- Lu, C., P.C. Lichtner, I.N. Tsimpanogiannis, and R.T. Mills (2005) Parametric study of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration in geologic media using the massively parallel computer code PFLOTRAN, AGU, San Francisco,
- Lu C., P.C. Lichtner (2005) PFLOTRAN: Massively Parallel 3-D Simulator for CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration in Geologic Media, Fourth Annual Conference on Carbon Capture and Sequestration DOF/NETL
- MacLachlan, S.P., and J.D. Moulton (2006) Multilevel upscaling through variational coarsening. Water Resour. Res., 42, W02418, doi10.1029/2005WR003940
- Mills, R.T., C. Lu, and P.C. Lichtner (2005) PFLOTRAN: A massively parallel simulator for reactive flows in geologic media, SC2005. Moulton J.D., J.E. Dendy, J.M. Hyman (1998) The black box multigrid numerical ho-
- mogenization algorithm. J. Comput. Phys., 142, 80–108. Pernice, M. and B. Philip (2006) Solution of Equilibrium Radiation Diffusion Problems
- Using Implicit Adaptive Mesh Refinement, SIAM, 27(5), 1709–1726. Wang, Y., R. Reddy, R. Gomez, J. Lim, S. Sanielevici, J. Ray, J. Sutherland, and J.
- Chen (2004) A general approach to creating FORTRAN interface for C++ application libraries, SciDAC Scientific Application Pilot Programs (SAPP), Proceedings of the International Conference on High Performance Computing and Applications, August 810, 2004, Shanghai, P.R. China.
- Zachara J.M., J.A. Davis, C. Liu, J.P. McKinley, N. Qafoku, D.M. Wellman, and S.B. Yabusaki (2005) Uranium Geochemistry in Vadose Zone and Aquifer Sediments from the 300 Area Uranium Plume. PNNL-15121, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.