Comparing Models x Models and Models x Observations

Luis Gustavo de Goncalves

>21 Participant Models (30 experiments)

>SSiB2 (3 exp) >BIOME-BGC ≻SiB3 >LPJ (2 exp) >HYLAND >JULES >SPA (3 exp) > CLM V3.5 (2 exp), V3.0DP, V3.0GW, V3.0 OOTB >SIB-CASA > ORCHIDEE >VISIT (3 exp) > CoLM >IBIS >5PM >SiB2 (2 exp) >NOAH DV, STD >LM3V

Models description and proposed analysis

> Metrics

➢R², Nash-Stucliffe Efficiency (NSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Mean, Standard Deviation (STD)

Quantitative plots
Taylor Diagram, Space-time errors

>Monthly Mean

Daily Mean

Diurnal Cycle

Quick review on the metrics and plots used

Measures for model performance evaluation

Legend: O

O_i: i_{th} observation P_i: i_{th} model prediction

R² Coefficient of Determination

$$R^{2} = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(O_{i} - \overline{O}\right) \left(P_{i} - \overline{P}\right)}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(O_{i} - \overline{O}\right)^{2}\right]^{0.5} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(P_{i} - \overline{P}\right)^{2}\right]^{0.5}}\right\}^{2}$$

$$\overline{O} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i) \qquad \overline{P} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i)$$

RMSE Root Mean Squared Error

$$RMSE = \left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - P_i)^2\right]^{0.5}$$

Bias

$$Bias = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)$$

Models description and analyses design Quick review on the metrics and plots used

NSE Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency

$$NSE = 1.0 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - P_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - \overline{O})^2}$$

>Physically, NSE is the ratio of the Mean Square Error (MAE) to the variance in the observed data, subtracted from unity

>If the square of the differences between the model simulations and the observations is as large as the variability in the observed data, then E=0.0 and if it exceeds it, then E<0.0 (i.e., the observed mean is a better predictor than Pi)

>A value of zero for the Coefficient of Efficiency indicates that the observed mean, O, is as good a predictor as the model while negative values indicate that the observed mean is a better predictor than the model

Models description and analyses design Quick review on the metrics and plots used

Taylor Diagram

Given a "test" field (*f*) and a reference field (*r*), the formulas for calculating the correlation coefficient (*R*), the centered RMS difference (*E*'), and the standard deviations of the "test" field (σf) and the reference field (σr) are:

$$R = \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} (f_n - \bar{f})(r_n - \bar{r})}{\sigma_f \sigma_r}$$
$$E'^2 = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} [(f_n - \bar{f}) - (r_n - \bar{r})]^2$$
$$\sigma_f^2 = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} (f_n - \bar{f})^2$$
$$\sigma_r^2 = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} (r_n - \bar{r})^2$$

Models description and analyses design Quick review on the metrics and plots used

Taylor Diagram $E'^2 = \sigma_f^2 + \sigma_r^2 - 2\sigma_f \sigma_r R$



Summary of Reported Variables



0.2











FNS -ECanop, TVeg, ESoil, EWater, RootMoist, CanopInt,





FNS -ECanop, TVeg, ESoil, EWater, RootMoist, CanopInt,



Summary of Reported Variables











1 2 3 4 5 6 7

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

0

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



1 2 3 4 5 6 7

FNS -SWnet,LWnet,Qle,Qh,Qg,DelCanHeat,DelSurfHeat, HYLAND JULES







0.8

0.6

0.4 0.2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Summary of Reported Variables





FNS -GPP,NPP,NEE,AutoResp,HeteroResp,TotSoilCarb,TotLivBiom,









 ${\sf FNS}\ -{\sf GPP}, {\sf NPP}, {\sf NEE}, {\sf AutoResp}, {\sf HeteroResp}, {\sf TotSoilCarb}, {\sf TotLivBiom},$





FNS -GPP,NPP,NEE,AutoResp,HeteroResp,TotSoilCarb,TotLivBiom,



>Models x Models Ensemble Mean

Models x Observations

>Quantitative plots













Qle K77











Results Analyses Qle K77



Results Analyses Qle K77



Results Analyses Qle K77







Qle K83



Mod -Qle Site :K83





150

100

50

0

-50

-100

0

Qle K83

















Qle K83



Qle K83

